

Topological and Universal Aspects of Bosonized Interacting Fermionic Systems in (2+1)d

D. G. Barci[†]

*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,
Instituto de Física, Departamento de Física Teórica
Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524,
Maracanã, cep 20550, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

L. E. Oxman^{††}

*Departamento de Física
Pontifícia Universidade Católica
Cx. Postal 38071, 22452-970, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

S. P. Sorella^{†††}

*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,
Instituto de Física, Departamento de Física Teórica
Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524,
Maracanã, cep 20550, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

(November, 1998)

UERJ/DFT-09/98

PACS: 11.15.-q, 11.10.Kk, 11.15.Tk, 71.10.Pm

Abstract

General results on the structure of the bosonization of fermionic systems in $(2+1)d$ are obtained. In particular, the universal character of the bosonized topological current is established and applied to generic fermionic current interactions. The final form of the bosonized action is shown to be given by the sum of two terms. The first one corresponds to the bosonization of the free fermionic action and turns out to be cast in the form of a pure Chern-Simons term, up to a suitable nonlinear field redefinition. We show that the second term, following from the bosonization of the interactions, can be obtained by simply replacing the fermionic current by the corresponding bosonized expression.

I. INTRODUCTION

The method of the bosonization is a nonperturbative technique by means of which a fermionic theory can be reformulated in terms of a bosonic one. It has been proven to be very useful in the study of $1 + 1$ dimensional systems in theoretical field theory as well as in condensed matter physics. In particular, it displays a powerful duality mechanism which has allowed to connect the nonperturbative (resp. perturbative) regime of the one dimensional massive Thirring model with the perturbative (resp. nonperturbative) one of the corresponding bosonized sine-Gordon model [1].

Since the beginning of the nineties many efforts have been done in order to generalize the bosonization technique to higher dimensions, with emphasis on $(2 + 1)$ d fermionic systems, due to their relevance for low dimensional condensed matter physics as, for instance, the quantum Hall effect and the high T_c superconductivity. Two different approaches have been proposed to obtain this generalization, namely, the canonical and the functional methods.

The canonical method was employed to obtain the first results for the bosonization of free massless fermions in $2 + 1$ dimensions [2]. In this case, the bosonization is achieved by introducing a vector gauge field. In particular, the bosonized action turns out to be of the type of a nonlocal Maxwell-Chern-Simons term.

While the canonical method is useful to investigate the mapping among the fundamental fields of the fermionic and the bosonic models, the functional method [3–7] is appropriate to establish the general framework for the higher dimensional bosonization of correlation functions with insertions of the fermionic current $J^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$.¹

For instance, in the case of abelian free fermions, the generating functional of the correlation functions of fermionic currents

$$Z(s) = e^{-\Gamma(s)} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-K_F - i \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \not{s} \psi}, \quad (1)$$

¹ The functional method has also been applied to bosonize the $(1 + 1)$ d Thirring model [8,9].

where

$$K_F = \int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m)\psi , \quad (2)$$

can be mapped into an equivalent bosonized form given by

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-K_B(A) - i \int d^3x s_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho} , \quad (3)$$

s_μ denoting the external source coupled to the spinor current. We remark that, as already underlined, the bosonized action $K_B(A)$ is in fact given in terms of a vector field A_μ and can be cast in the following form [4]

$$e^{-K_B(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu e^{-\Gamma(b) + i \int d^3x b_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho} , \quad (4)$$

where

$$e^{-\Gamma(b)} = \det(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{b}) . \quad (5)$$

Moreover, being the bosonized action $K_B(A)$ obtained from a functional transverse Fourier transform of the fermionic determinant, it turns out to be gauge invariant, provided the bosonic field A_μ transforms as a gauge field. In particular, differentiating the generating functional $Z(s)$ with respect to the external source s_μ , for the Green functions of fermionic currents one gets

$$\langle J^{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots J^{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle_{K_F} = \langle (\varepsilon \partial A)^{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots (\varepsilon \partial A)^{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle_{K_B} , \quad (6)$$

suggesting thus the following *bosonization rule* for the current

$$J^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \leftrightarrow \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho . \quad (7)$$

Although the above result has been generalized in the case of the abelian interacting massive Thirring model [3], it remains an open question to what extent the bosonization rule for the current (eq. (7)), can be considered as a universal exact prescription for the Green functions as well as for any fermionic interaction depending on the current J^μ . In

other words, it seems natural to ask ourselves if, in order to obtain the bosonized action for interacting fermions, we could simply replace the fermionic current J^μ by the corresponding bosonized topological expression $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\nu A_\rho$ in the interaction terms.

The aim of the present paper is to show that this important question can be answered in the affirmative. In particular, we shall be able to establish that, for any fermionic interaction $S^I(J)$, the bosonized form of the generating functional $Z^{int}(s)$ can be obtained by means of the following prescription

$$K_F + i \int d^3x \ s^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi + S^I(J) \leftrightarrow K_B(A) + i \int d^3x \ s^\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\nu A^\rho + S^I(\varepsilon \partial A) , \quad (8)$$

where, remarkably enough, $K_B(A)$ is nothing but the same bosonized action for free massive fermions of expression (4).

From the formula (8) it follows that, in order to achieve the full bosonization program, we are faced with the computation of the exact expression of the bosonized action $K_B(A)$ for free fermions. This amounts to compute the functional transverse Fourier transform of the massive $(2+1)$ d fermionic determinant (eq. 5).

Although a closed expression for $K_B(A)$ is still lacking, rather interesting results have been already established. For instance, in the infinite mass limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ the effective action corresponding to the fermionic determinant is given exactly by a local Chern-Simons term. Moreover, being this term quadratic, its transverse Fourier transform can be computed straightforwardly, yielding a bosonized action which turns out to be a Chern-Simons term [3]. Another approximation scheme was considered in ref. [10], where the full quadratic part of the fermionic effective action, corresponding to the exact expression of the vacuum polarization tensor, has been taken into account. In this case, the approximated bosonized action takes the form of a nonlocal Maxwell-Chern-Simons term (see also [11]). It is worth underlining here that this approximation has been proven to be very useful in order to discuss on an equal footing both the massless and the infinite mass limit, corresponding respectively to the bosonized actions obtained in refs. [2,3].

Beyond the quadratic approximation for the fermionic determinant, the evaluation of the

bosonized action is, in general, a difficult task. However, in a recent work [12], some general features of the fermionic determinant have been obtained without computing Feynman's diagrams. In fact, it has been proven that the whole set of the infinite number of one loop diagrams of the perturbative expansion of the massive abelian determinant can be fully reset to a pure Chern-Simons term, up to a nonlinear and nonlocal field redefinition, *i.e.*

$$\Gamma(b) = \log \det(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \gamma^\mu b_\mu - m) = \eta \mathcal{S}_{CS}(\hat{b}) , \quad (9)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_{CS}(\hat{b}) = \frac{i}{2} \int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{b}_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{b}_\rho , \quad (10)$$

where η is a numerical coefficient and $\hat{b}_\mu = \hat{b}_\mu(b)$ is a gauge field obtained from the original field b_μ through a suitable nonlinear and nonlocal redefinition.

As it will be discussed in detail in the following, the above result (9) will allow us to show that the bosonized action $K_B(A)$ of eq.(8) can be actually cast in the form of a pure Chern-Simons term, up to a nonlinear and nonlocal redefinition of the gauge field $A_\mu \rightarrow \hat{A}_\mu(A)$. In other words,

$$K_B(A) = \frac{i}{2\eta} \int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\rho . \quad (11)$$

The two formulas (8) and (11) represent the essence of the present paper. While the first one exhibits the universality and the exactness of the bosonization rule (7) for the current, the second one emphasizes the general topological structure of the bosonized action $K_B(A)$, improving then our present understanding of the whole bosonization program in $(2+1)d$.

The present work is organized as follows. In section §II, we review the nonlinear field transformation leading to the Chern-Simons structure of the fermionic effective action in $2+1$ dimensions. In section §III we show that this topological structure also holds at the level of the bosonized action, for any finite value of the fermionic mass parameter. In addition, a detailed discussion of the so-called quadratic approximation is given. Section §IV is devoted to the study of the bosonization of a general class of interacting fermionic systems. This

is achieved by showing the validity of the universal prescription for the bosonization of the current (8). A few examples will be worked out in detail. Finally, in section §V, we collect the concluding remarks. Possible further applications will be also outlined.

II. CHERN-SIMONS STRUCTURE OF THE FERMIONIC EFFECTIVE ACTION

In the path integral approach to bosonization, the fermionic determinant plays a fundamental role (cf. equations (4) and (5)).

In $(1+1)$ d the fermionic determinant for massless fermions is known to be quadratic in the external field, and bosonization can be carried out exactly in this case. On the other hand, the fermionic determinant for massive fermions has not yet been computed in closed form. However, the path-integral approach to bosonization in $(1+1)$ d can bypass this fact by exploiting the two-dimensional chiral anomaly [9]. The most general external gauge field which couples to the vector fermionic current can be decomposed as $b_\mu = \partial_\mu \eta + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \phi$. The component η can be eliminated, since it corresponds to a pure gauge. The ϕ -term can be also thought of as a pure gauge coupled to the $U(1)$ axial current. However, it cannot be gauged away, due to the existence of the chiral anomaly which prevents the $(1+1)$ d massless fermionic theory from being trivial. As a consequence [9], the chiral anomalous transformation properties of the fermions in $(1+1)$ d allow to derive a set of periodicity conditions for the bosonized action which, in the case of the massive Thirring model, lead to the well known Sine-Gordon action.

In three dimensions a similar mechanism is not available, due to the absence of the chiral anomaly. However, additional topological informations about the form of the bosonized action can be derived from the general structure of the fermionic determinant.

Indeed, in a recent work [12], it has been shown that in three dimensions an underlying topological structure does exist for the fermionic determinant. More precisely, the resulting effective action turns out to be of the kind of a Chern-Simons action, namely

$$\Gamma(b) = \log \det(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \gamma^\mu b_\mu - m) = \eta \mathcal{S}_{CS}(\widehat{b}) , \quad (12)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_{CS}(\widehat{b}) = \frac{i}{2} \int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \widehat{b}_\mu \partial_\nu \widehat{b}_\rho , \quad (13)$$

and $\widehat{b}_\mu = \widehat{b}_\mu(b)$ is obtained from the field b_μ through a nonlinear and nonlocal field redefinition. Moreover, the redefined field $\widehat{b}_\mu$ transforms still as a connection under gauge transformations, *i.e.*

$$\delta b_\mu = -\partial_\mu \alpha , \quad \delta \widehat{b}_\mu = -\partial_\mu \alpha , \quad (14)$$

and, of course

$$\delta \Gamma(b) = \delta \mathcal{S}_{CS}(\widehat{b}) = 0 . \quad (15)$$

This result is based on two well known properties of the effective action $\Gamma(b)$: the absence of anomalies in $(2+1)d$, and the fact that, in the infinite mass limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, the effective action $\Gamma(b)$ takes the form of a pure local Chern-Simons term [17].

We shall make use extensively of these properties to extract the topological content of the bosonized action in $(2+1)d$.

In order to make this paper self-contained, let us sketch here the main lines of the reasoning that led to the result of eq.(12).

Let us start by considering the perturbative expansion of the effective action,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(b) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma^n(b) , \\ \Gamma^n(b) &= \int d^3x_1 \dots d^3x_n b^{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots b^{\mu_n}(x_n) \langle j_{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots j_{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Due to the absence of anomalies, gauge invariance can be implemented at the quantum level, *i.e.*

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Gamma(b)}{\delta b_\mu} = 0 , \quad (17)$$

implying that

$$\partial_{x_1}^{\mu_1} \langle j_{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots j_{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle = 0 . \quad (18)$$

This equation automatically decouples the longitudinal part of the gauge field, leading to an effective action that depends only from the transverse gauge field component b_μ^T

$$b_\mu^T = (g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\partial^2}) b^\nu = \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} . \quad (19)$$

Therefore, it follows that each term of the perturbative series has the form

$$\Gamma^n(b) = \int d^3 y_1 \dots d^3 y_n F_{\mu_1 \nu_1}(y_1) \dots F_{\mu_n \nu_n}(y_n) \Omega^{\mu_1 \nu_1 \dots \mu_n \nu_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) , \quad (20)$$

with

$$\Omega^{\mu_1 \nu_1 \dots \mu_n \nu_n} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^n} \int \left(\prod_{j=1}^n d^3 x_j \frac{(x_j - y_j)^{\mu_j}}{|x_j - y_j|^3} \right) < j^{\nu_1}(x_1) \dots j^{\nu_n}(x_n) > . \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, the two legs contribution Γ^2 is exactly known and can be written as

$$\Gamma^2(b) = \eta S_{CS}(b) + \int d^3 x d^3 y F_{\mu_1 \nu_1}(x) \Omega^{\mu_1 \nu_1 \mu_2 \nu_2}(x - y) F_{\mu_2 \nu_2}(y) , \quad (22)$$

where

$$S_{CS}(b) = \frac{i}{2} \int d^3 x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} b_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho , \quad (23)$$

is the abelian Chern-Simons action, $\Omega^{\mu_1 \nu_1 \mu_2 \nu_2}(x - y)$ is a well known nonlocal kernel, and η is a regularization dependent parameter [13–17].

Thus, we can write the effective action $\Gamma(b)$ in the following form

$$\Gamma(b) = \eta S_{CS} + S_\Omega , \quad (24)$$

with

$$S_\Omega = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int d^3 y_1 \dots d^3 y_n F_{\mu_1 \nu_1}(y_1) \dots F_{\mu_n \nu_n}(y_n) \Omega^{\mu_1 \nu_1 \dots \mu_n \nu_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) . \quad (25)$$

It is important to note that the first term of the fermionic effective action is a local Chern-Simons action, while the other terms depend on the curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$ which is nothing but the variation of the pure Chern-Simons term

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \frac{\delta S_{CS}}{\delta b_\rho} . \quad (26)$$

This crucial feature allows to cast the fermionic effective action $\Gamma(b)$ in the form of a Chern-Simons term evaluated at the redefined connection $\hat{b}_\mu$.

This statement can be formalized by means of a simple cohomological argument. Introducing the ghost field c , the anti-fields c^* and b_μ^* , and the BRST nilpotent transformations

$$\begin{aligned} sb_\mu &= -\partial_\mu c , \\ sc &= 0 , \\ sb_\mu^* &= \frac{\delta(\eta\mathcal{S}_{CS} + \mathcal{S}_\Omega)}{\delta b^\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}F^{\nu\rho} + \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_\Omega(b)}{\delta b^\mu} , \\ sc^* &= -\partial^\mu b_\mu^* , \end{aligned}$$

we can show (see [12] for the details) that $\mathcal{S}_\Omega$ can be written as an exact BRST cocycle

$$\mathcal{S}_\Omega = s \int d^3x_1 \prod_{j=2}^n d^3x_j F_{\mu_j\nu_j}(x_j) \left(\varepsilon_{\mu_1\nu_1\rho} b^{*\rho} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mu_1\nu_1}^k}{\xi^k} \right) \Omega^{\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_n\nu_n} , \quad (27)$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\mu_1\nu_1}^k$ being an appropriate quantity depending on the fields, antifields and on the kernel $\Omega^{\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_n\nu_n}$. This means that the term $\mathcal{S}_\Omega$ can be reabsorbed in the Chern-Simons action by means of a nonlinear field redefinition, as it is well known that exact cocycles correspond to pure field redefinitions (see for instance ref. [19]). Note that this cohomological argument does not make any reference to the detailed form of the action $\mathcal{S}_\Omega$. This implies that any gauge invariant action containing a local Chern-Simons term $\eta\mathcal{S}_{CS}$ can be rewritten, in a way similar to eq.(12), as a Chern-Simons action evaluated at the corresponding redefined connection $\hat{b}_\mu$, which is a nonlinear functional of the gauge field b_μ .

In order to find an explicit formula for the nonlinear redefinition $b_\mu \rightarrow \hat{b}_\mu$, we can give a recursive argument by introducing a general functional $\hat{b}_\mu$ of the external gauge field b_μ

$$\hat{b}_\mu = b_\mu + \sum_k \frac{1}{\xi^k} \vartheta_\mu^k , \quad (28)$$

so that the following condition holds

$$\eta\mathcal{S}_{CS}(b) + \frac{1}{\xi}\Gamma^n(b) = \eta\mathcal{S}_{CS}(\hat{b}) . \quad (29)$$

The parameter ξ is an arbitrary coefficient and can be set to one after the calculations.

The computation of the coefficients ϑ_μ^k is straightforward. For instance, inserting eq.(28) into eq.(29) and identifying the terms of the same order in the powers of ξ , for the first two coefficients one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_\mu^1(x) &= \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\mu\sigma\tau}\Xi^{\sigma\tau}, \\ \vartheta_\mu^2(x) &= -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\int d^3y\mathcal{F}_{3n}^{\sigma\tau\nu\rho}(y,x)\varepsilon_{\sigma\tau\alpha}\partial_\beta\Xi^{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

with $\Xi^{\sigma\tau}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{3n}^{\sigma\tau\nu\rho}(y,x)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi^{\nu\rho} &= \frac{1}{\eta}\int d^3x_2\dots d^3x_n F_{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2)\dots F_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\Omega^{\nu\rho\mu_2\nu_2\dots\mu_n\nu_n}(x,x_2,\dots,x_n), \\ \mathcal{F}_{3n}^{\sigma\tau\nu\rho} &= \int\left(\prod_{j=3}^n d^3x_j F_{\mu_j\nu_j}(x_j)\right)\Omega^{\sigma\tau\nu\rho\mu_3\nu_3\dots\mu_n\nu_n}(y,x,x_3,\dots,x_n).\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

Note that ϑ_μ^1 and ϑ_μ^2 are gauge invariant. This means that $\widehat{b}_\mu$ is a gauge field, according to eqs.(14) and (15).

With a similar procedure, it is not difficult to show that the whole fermionic effective action $\Gamma(b)$ can be reset to a Chern-Simons action for the gauge field $\widehat{b}_\mu$, which is a nonlinear functional of the connection b_μ , as shown by the eqs.(12) and (13). It is worth remarking here that the redefined connection $\widehat{b}_\mu$ is in fact η -dependent (see eq. (30)).

The coefficients ϑ_μ^k define an expansion of the effective action which will be useful when discussing bosonization. A detailed calculation of the ϑ_μ^k 's can be found in ref. [12]. In particular, it can be shown that the linear component of the ϑ_μ^k depends only on the vacuum polarization tensor $\langle J^\mu J^\nu \rangle$, *i.e.*

$$\left.\frac{\delta\vartheta_\mu^k}{\delta b_\nu}\right|_{b=0} = \text{function of } (\langle J^\mu J^\nu \rangle) .\tag{32}$$

We can summarize this section by stating that any gauge invariant action containing a local Chern-Simons term can be rewritten as a pure Chern-Simons term, up to a suitable nonlinear field redefinition. In particular, the effective action for noninteracting fermions can be interpreted in a geometrical way as a particular Chern-Simons functional associated to the redefined connection $\widehat{b}_\mu(b)$, depending in a nonlinear way on the external gauge field

b_μ . Let us also observe that in the particular case in which the external field b_μ is set to $b_\mu = \hat{b}_\mu^{-1}(B)$, the resulting effective action will be a pure Chern-Simons term for the gauge field B_μ . In addition, as we shall see in section §IV, changing the connection $\hat{b}_\mu$ will amount to turning on interactions between fermions.

In the following section we will use these properties to gain some insight into the topological structure of the bosonization in $(2+1)\text{d}$.

III. CHERN-SIMONS STRUCTURE OF $(2+1)\text{D}$ BOSONIZATION

A. Free Fermions

The aim of this subsection is to analyze the topological structure of the bosonized action for free fermions.

In order to write a formal expression for the bosonizing action, let us follow the path-integral approach of ref. [4]. We can write the generating functional for free massive fermions as

$$Z(s) = e^{-\Gamma s} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{s})\psi} . \quad (33)$$

Making the following change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\longrightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi , \\ \bar{\psi} &\longrightarrow \bar{\psi}e^{-i\alpha(x)} , \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and noting that $Z(s)$ does not depend on α , it follows

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\alpha F(\alpha) e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + i(\not{s} + \not{\partial}\alpha) + m)\psi} , \quad (35)$$

where $F(\alpha)$ is an arbitrary function whose effect is that of changing the normalization factor of the generating functional. Let us introduce now the field b_μ through the condition

$$\partial_\mu \alpha = b_\mu \quad , \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial^\mu b_\mu . \quad (36)$$

In order to integrate over b_μ in the path-integral (35) we must impose that b_μ be a pure gauge. This can be done by inserting the delta functional $\delta(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu\rho}(b))$ in the expression for $Z(s)$. Thus,

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b) \delta(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu\rho}(b)) e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + i(\not{s} + \not{b}) + m)\psi} . \quad (37)$$

The source s_μ can be decoupled from the fermions by shifting the field b_μ

$$b_\mu \longrightarrow b_\mu - s_\mu , \quad (38)$$

obtaining

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b - \partial s) \delta(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu\rho}(b - s)) e^{\log \det(\not{\partial} + i\not{b} + m)} . \quad (39)$$

Exponentiating the delta functional by means of a Lagrange multiplier A_μ , we get

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-K_B(A) - i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu s_\rho} , \quad (40)$$

where

$$e^{-K_B(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b) e^{-\Gamma(b) + i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} . \quad (41)$$

Then, the exponential of the bosonizing action is obtained from the transverse Fourier transform of the exponential of the effective action $\Gamma(b)$ ². Recalling from the previous section that the fermionic effective action $\Gamma(b)$ can be cast in the form of a Chern-Simons term (see eq.(12)), we have

$$e^{-K_B(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b F(\partial b) e^{-\eta S_{CS}(\widehat{b}) + i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} . \quad (42)$$

This expression is suitable in order to obtain additional informations on the final form of the bosonized action. Firstly, we note that the term $K_B(A)$ is gauge invariant and depends only on the transverse component of A_μ ,

² We have supposed that $\partial s = 0$, as $Z(s)$ is gauge invariant and therefore depends only on the transverse component of s .

$$K_B(A) = K_B(A^T) , \quad (43)$$

because

$$\int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho = \int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu^T \partial_\nu b_\rho . \quad (44)$$

Secondly, it contains a local Chern-Simons term. In fact, taking the infinite mass limit, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-K_B(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b) e^{-\eta S_{CS}(b) + i \int d^3x \, \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} , \quad (45)$$

which yields, upon integration over b_μ , the expression

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-K_B(A)} = e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(A)} . \quad (46)$$

From the above formula one can observe that the transverse Fourier transform of the exponential of a Chern-Simons action is again the exponential of a Chern-Simons action.

We see then that the bosonized action contains a local Chern-Simons term, corresponding to the bosonization in the infinite mass limit first obtained in ref. [3]. Therefore, for any finite value of the mass parameter m , we can write

$$K_B(A) = \frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(A) + \tilde{S}_{bos}(A) , \quad (47)$$

where

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{S}_{bos}(A) = 0 . \quad (48)$$

Moreover, recalling that $\tilde{S}_{bos}(A)$ is gauge invariant, it can be reabsorbed into the Chern-Simons action through a suitable redefinition of the gauge connection A_μ , *i.e.*

$$K_B(A) = \frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\hat{A}) , \quad (49)$$

where the redefined field $\hat{A}_\mu(A)$ depends nonlinearly on A_μ .

It is worth summarizing here the results obtained so far. We have introduced the idea of a Chern-Simons type action $S_{CS}(\hat{A})$, *i.e.*, a Chern-Simons action for the connection $\hat{A}_\mu(A)$,

which is a nonlinear functional of the fundamental gauge field A_μ . In particular, according to eq.(9), it has been proven that the fermionic effective action has precisely this structure, namely

$$\Gamma(b) = \eta S_{CS}(\widehat{b}) . \quad (50)$$

Then, using the formal bosonization rule of eq.(41)

$$e^{-K_B(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b) e^{-\eta S_{CS}(\widehat{b}) + i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} , \quad (51)$$

we have been able to show a property that generalizes the case of infinite mass limit to a finite mass, stating that

the transverse Fourier transform of the exponential of a Chern-Simons type action $S_{CS}(\widehat{b})$ is again the exponential of a Chern-Simons type action $S_{CS}(\widehat{A})$, namely

$$\int \mathcal{D}b_\mu F(\partial b) e^{-\eta S_{CS}(\widehat{b}) - i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} = e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\widehat{A})} , \quad (52)$$

up to an appropriate field redefinition $\widehat{A}_\mu(A)$.

This is the main result of this section: the bosonized action for finite fermionic mass is a Chern-Simons type action, *i.e.*

$$\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m)\psi \leftrightarrow K_B(A) = \frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\widehat{A}) . \quad (53)$$

B. On the Quadratic Approximation

In this section we exploit the quadratic approximation for the bosonization [10]. We will show that an equivalent approximation is obtained by considering the linear terms in the field transformation that allows to reset the bosonized action into a Chern-Simons type action. Moreover, it will become evident that this approximation leads to the *exact* results only when we limit ourselves to the two point current correlation function.

From the equations (40) and (53) of the previous section, we can express the fermionic partition function as

$$Z(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \int d^3x \left(-\frac{i}{2\eta} \hat{A} \varepsilon \partial \hat{A} - iA \varepsilon \partial s \right). \quad (54)$$

On the other hand, using eq.(12), we can rewrite the eq.(33) as

$$Z(s) = e^{-\Gamma(s)} = \exp(-\eta \int d^3x \frac{i}{2} \hat{s} \varepsilon \partial \hat{s}) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \int d^3x \left(-\frac{i}{2\eta} A \varepsilon \partial A - iA \varepsilon \partial \hat{s} \right). \quad (55)$$

Therefore, the current-current correlation function

$$G_2 = \left. \frac{\delta^2}{\delta s \delta s} Z(s) \right|_{s=0}, \quad (56)$$

is given by

$$G_2 = \left[\frac{\delta Z}{\delta \hat{s}} \frac{\delta^2 \hat{s}}{\delta s \delta s} + \frac{\delta^2 Z}{\delta \hat{s} \delta \hat{s}} \frac{\delta \hat{s}}{\delta s} \frac{\delta \hat{s}}{\delta s} \right]_{s=0}. \quad (57)$$

Noting that $\hat{s}(0) = 0$ and that $\frac{\delta Z}{\delta s}|_0 = 0^3$, it follows that G_2 depends only on the linear part $s_l(s) = (\delta \hat{s} / \delta s|_{s=0}) s$ of the redefined source $\hat{s}$,

$$G_2 = \left. \frac{\delta^2}{\delta s \delta s} Z_l(s) \right|_{s=0}, \quad (58)$$

where

$$Z_l(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \int d^3x \left(-\frac{i}{2\eta} A \varepsilon \partial A - iA \varepsilon \partial s_l \right). \quad (59)$$

Conversely, it can be seen from the general structure of $\hat{s}$ given in section §II, that this linear part only depends on the vacuum polarization diagram. This means that the quadratic approximation, where the whole quadratic part of the fermionic effective action is taken into account, is equivalent to the linear approximation $s_l(s)$ of the transformation $\hat{s}$.

The general form of s_l can be written as

$$s_l = (\alpha_+ P_+ + \alpha_- P_-) s, \quad (60)$$

where we have used the orthogonal projectors

³ The vacuum expectation value of the Dirac current is zero.

$$P_{\pm\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial^2} \pm \varepsilon_{\mu\lambda\nu}\frac{\partial_\lambda}{\sqrt{-\partial^2}}) \quad , \quad L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial^2} . \quad (61)$$

It is simple to set Z_l in the form of the eq.(54), *i.e.*

$$Z_l(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \int d^3x (-\frac{i}{2\eta} A_l \varepsilon \partial A_l - i A \varepsilon \partial s) , \quad (62)$$

where

$$A_l(A) = (\frac{1}{\alpha_+} P_+ + \frac{1}{\alpha_-} P_- + \frac{1}{\alpha} L) A , \quad (63)$$

is a linear function of A_μ . This is due to the fact that s_l is linear in the external source s . Thus, in order to find the bosonized action in the quadratic approximation we only need to determine α_+ and α_- . To find them, we can rely on the fact that, using the projectors (61), the general form of the quadratic effective action is

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x s (iG(-\partial^2)(P_+ - P_-)\sqrt{-\partial^2} + F(-\partial^2)(P_+ + P_-)\partial^2) s , \quad (64)$$

where $F(-\partial^2)$ and $G(-\partial^2)$ are functions that can be determined from the evaluation of the vacuum polarization tensor. Comparing with

$$\frac{i\eta}{2} \int d^3x s_l \varepsilon \partial s_l = \frac{i\eta}{2} \int d^3x s_l (P_+ - P_-)\sqrt{-\partial^2} s_l , \quad (65)$$

it is straightforward to obtain

$$i\eta\alpha_+^2 = iG - F\sqrt{-\partial^2} \quad , \quad i\eta\alpha_-^2 = iG + F\sqrt{-\partial^2} . \quad (66)$$

Using eqs.(66), (63) and eq.(62) we can write the bosonized action as

$$\int d^3x A_l \varepsilon \partial A_l = 2i\eta \int d^3x A \left(P_{CS} \frac{G}{F^2\partial^2 - G^2} - P_M \frac{F}{F^2\partial^2 - G^2} \right) A \quad (67)$$

where

$$P_M = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^2 I - \partial \otimes \partial) = \frac{1}{2}(P_+ + P_-)\partial^2 \quad , \quad P_{CS} = \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon\partial = \frac{i}{2}(P_+ - P_-)\sqrt{-\partial^2} ,$$

are the ‘‘Maxwell’’ and ‘‘Chern-Simons’’ projectors, respectively.

This result coincides with that presented in ref. [10]. In that reference the functions $F(-\partial^2)$ and $G(-\partial^2)$ were explicitly computed, obtaining for their Fourier transforms $\tilde{F}(k)$ and $\tilde{G}(k)$

$$\tilde{F}(k^2) = \frac{|m|}{4\pi k^2} \left[1 - \frac{1 - k^2/4m^2}{(k^2/4m^2)^{1/2}} \arcsin \left(1 + \frac{4m^2}{k^2} \right)^{-1/2} \right], \quad (68)$$

$$\tilde{G}(k^2) = \frac{q}{4\pi} + \frac{m}{2\pi|k|} \arcsin \left(1 + \frac{4m^2}{k^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (69)$$

These expressions have been obtained by using the Pauli-Villars regularization. The parameter q depends on the particular choice of the regularization and, in the present case, it corresponds to the difference between the number of positive and negative Pauli-Villars regularizing masses. In terms of this regularization, the coefficient η previously introduced is given by

$$\eta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}(k^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m}{|m|} + q \right). \quad (70)$$

It is worth spending here few words about the regularization ambiguity which appears in the expression for η . In fact, the Pauli-Villars regularizing masses can be introduced by means of a higher order derivative lagrangian. Each regularizing mass is associated to a new Dirac factor with mass Λ_i that changes the fermion propagator so as to regularize the ultraviolet behavior of the theory, namely

$$(\not{\partial} + m)^{-1} \rightarrow \left[(\not{\partial} + m) \prod_i (\not{\partial} + \Lambda_i) / \Lambda_i \right]^{-1}. \quad (71)$$

In $(2+1)d$, the usual Dirac lagrangian is not invariant under a parity transformation P . In fact, the latter relates the two signs of the mass parameter m

$$\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m)\psi \xrightarrow{P} \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} - m)\psi. \quad (72)$$

For this reason the fermionic effective action contains a parity breaking Chern-Simons term whose coefficient depends on the sign of m : $m/|m|$. When we introduce the Pauli-Villars regularizing masses Λ_i , we change, in general, the parity properties of the starting action.

Accordingly, each Pauli-Villars mass Λ_i will yield an additional contribution for the coefficient in front of the Chern-Simons equal to $q_i = \Lambda_i/|\Lambda_i|$. The change of the parity properties of the theory is measured by $q = \sum_i q_i$. Then, if we are interested in working with an effective action having the same parity properties of the classical fermionic field theory, we should choose an equal number of positive and negative Pauli-Villars regularizing masses, *i.e.*, we have to take $q = 0$ in the eq.(70). Note also that the Dirac factor associated to a pair of Pauli-Villars masses Λ and $-\Lambda$ ($q = 0$),

$$(i\partial\!\!\!/ + \Lambda)(i\partial\!\!\!/ - \Lambda) , \quad (73)$$

is invariant under a parity transformation, *i.e.*, it does not introduce additional parity breaking terms.

Summarizing, we have seen that in order to bosonize the two point current correlation function, all that is needed is the linear part of the nonlinear transformation $s_\mu \rightarrow \hat{s}_\mu$. On the other hand, this linear part depends only on the vacuum polarization tensor, implying that we can obtain an exact bosonization of the two point function by setting to zero all the diagrams whose number of external legs is greater than two. Thus, keeping the exact quadratic part of the fermionic effective action [10] leads to an exact result for the two point correlation function for any value of the fermion mass. For this reason this approximation has led to the correct Schwinger terms in the current algebra [18]. It is also clear that, in order to bosonize higher order current correlation functions, the knowledge of the full effective action is required, the quadratic approximation being now no more sufficient.

IV. BOSONIZATION FOR $(2+1)$ D INTERACTING FERMIONS

In the previous sections, we have studied the structure of the bosonization for free fermions with arbitrary finite mass. We shall now discuss a general class of interacting fermionic systems whose interaction term $S^I(J)$ depends on the spinor current $J^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$.

The current correlation functions for this interacting system are determined by the partition function $Z^{int}(s)$, where, as usual, the fermions are probed by means of the external

field s_μ

$$Z^{int}(s) = e^{-\Gamma^{int}(s)} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{s})\psi - S^I(J)} . \quad (74)$$

Starting with this expression, we can follow the same steps that have led from eq.(33) to eqs.(40), (41), to obtain

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-S_{bos}(A) - i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu s_\rho} , \quad (75)$$

where

$$e^{-S_{bos}(A)} = \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu e^{-\Gamma^{int}(b) + i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu b_\rho} , \quad (76)$$

meaning that the exponential of the interacting bosonizing action is obtained from the transverse Fourier transform of the exponential of the interacting effective fermionic action. Although this formal structure is interesting by its own, it turns out to be useless to improve the formal bosonization as well as to develop a computational tool, since Γ^{int} is a very complex object and so it is its transverse Fourier transform.

However, we can follow a different route reexpressing the exponential of the fermionic interaction $S^I(J)$ in terms of its functional Fourier transform

$$e^{-S^I(J)} = \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu e^{-S(a) - i \int d^3x J_\mu a^\mu} . \quad (77)$$

Therefore, for the generating functional of eq.(74) we get

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{s} + i\not{a})\psi - S(a)} . \quad (78)$$

In this way, we can interpret the fermionic interaction as an effective interacting fermionic system minimally coupled to a dynamical quantum vector field a_μ whose action is $S(a)$.

Note that for a generic interaction $S^I(J)$ which is not quadratic in the spinor current J^μ the computation of $S(a)$ is, in general, not possible. However, we will see that our final result will be given only in terms of the known quantity $S^I(J)$, without relying on the explicit computation of its Fourier transform $S(a)$. In other words, all that will be needed is the reasonable assumption that the Fourier representation (77) does in fact exist.

Performing in the expression (78) the integration over the fermions, we get

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu e^{-\Gamma(s+a)-S(a)} . \quad (79)$$

where $\exp -\Gamma(s+a) = \det(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{s} + i\not{a})$ is the fermionic generating functional for free fermions whose bosonized form has already been presented in eq.(40). Thus, plugging eq.(40) in the eq.(79), one has

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-K_B(A)-i \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu (s_\rho + a_\rho) - S(a)} . \quad (80)$$

Integrating now over a_μ and using again the representation (77), we obtain finally

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-K_B(A)-S^I(\varepsilon \partial A) - i \int d^3x s_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho} . \quad (81)$$

As anticipated, we see that our final result relies only on the known action S^I , the action $S(a)$ being only needed at the intermediate steps.

Comparing now eq.(74) with eq.(81), we can read off the bosonization rules for the kinetic fermionic action as well as for the fermionic current. They coincide with the free case given in eqs.(53) and (7). In particular, from eq.(81), it follows that the bosonization rule for the fermionic interaction is

$$S^I(\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) \leftrightarrow S^I(\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho) , \quad (82)$$

meaning that the equivalence between the fermionic and the bosonic current is not only exact but is also a solid universal result, in the sense that it is maintained in the interacting quantum theory. We can bosonize any term involving the fermionic current replacing J^μ by the bosonic topological current $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho$.

To clarify this result, let us work out some examples. Let us first analyze the case of a Thirring-like interaction

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m + i\not{s})\psi + \frac{1}{4}(g^2)^{1-2\alpha} J_\mu \square^{-\alpha} J^\mu} , \quad (83)$$

where the coupling g^2 has the dimension of the inverse of the mass parameter m .

Using the bosonization rules previously derived, we can bosonize the expression (83) by simply replacing the fermionic current by the corresponding bosonic topological current, *i.e.*

$$Z^{int}(s) = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\hat{A}) - i \int d^3x s_\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{1}{4} (g^2)^{1-2\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} \square^{-\alpha} F^{\mu\nu}} . \quad (84)$$

Observe that the current-current interaction of eq.(83) can be interpreted as coming from

$$S(a) = \frac{1}{4} (g^2)^{2\alpha-1} \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(a) \square^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(a) , \quad (85)$$

upon integration over the field a_μ . For instance, for $\alpha = 0$ the equation (83) corresponds to the local Thirring model whose bosonization was obtained in ref. [3]. Note that, in this case, the field a_μ in the equation (85) corresponds to the usual Hubbard-Stratonovich field, upon gauge fixing. Other values for α correspond to a nonlocal current-current interaction, or, equivalently, to a generalized electrodynamics (85). In particular, for $\alpha = 1$, we recover the usual QED_3 .

The equation (84) shows that the Thirring-like interactions do not modify the coefficient η of the local Chern-Simons term. Then, as we have discussed in section §II, it is possible to recast the sum of the Chern-Simons type action that bosonizes the free theory and of the term coming from the interaction (cf. eq. (84)) in the form of a pure Chern-Simons action with the same parameter η ($\eta = 1/(4\pi) m/|m|$), *i.e.*

$$\frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\hat{A}) + \frac{1}{4} (g^2)^{2\alpha-1} \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \square^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\hat{A}^{int}) , \quad (86)$$

for a suitable redefinition of the gauge connection $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}^{int}(A)$.

We see then that the bosonization formula (84) allows to separate the topological information of the theory from its dynamics, which is contained in the redefinition $\hat{A}^{int} = \hat{A}^{int}(A)$. In particular, the eq.(86) suggests the appealing idea that a change in the connection, *i.e.*, $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}^{int}(A)$, corresponds to the introduction of interactions that do not modify the coefficient η .

The understanding of the bosonization rules presented here for the interacting case can be improved further by the following remarks on the scaling properties displayed by the

kind of fermionic interactions considered in the eq.(83). For $\alpha \neq 1/2$ there are two dimensionfull constants in the model, *i.e.*, m and g^2 . With these constants only one dimensionless parameter can be built up, namely $\lambda = mg^2$.

It turns out that, remarkably enough, the action of the Thirring-like model we are dealing with depends only on the dimensionless combination λ .

In fact, if we choose to measure lengths (energy) in units of $1/m$ (m), we can reexpress the action of the model in terms of the dimensionless quantities

$$x' = xm, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(x') = \frac{1}{m} \psi(x'/m), \quad (87)$$

obtaining

$$S = \int d^3x' \bar{\xi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ + 1)\xi + \lambda^{1-2\alpha} J_\mu \square^{-\alpha} J^\mu. \quad (88)$$

In this case, the parameter λ can be interpreted as a dimensionless coupling constant. However, it cannot be considered as a coupling in a perturbative sense, since the model is not renormalizable by power counting. A nonperturbative technique is thus required. Therefore, the method of bosonization could play an important role.

On the other hand, if we choose to measure lengths (energy) in units of g^2 ($1/g^2$), we can write the action in terms of the dimensionless quantities

$$x' = x/g^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x') = g^2 \psi(g^2 x'), \quad (89)$$

yielding

$$S = \int d^3x' \bar{\phi}(i\partial\!\!\!/ + \lambda)\phi + J^\mu \square^{-\alpha} J_\mu. \quad (90)$$

In this case, λ will appear in the kinetic term instead of in the interaction.

Of course, the expressions given in eqs.(88) and (90) possess the same physical content, since the only difference between them is the system of units chosen to measure lengths and energy. In particular, it turns out that the n -point current correlation functions written in terms of the different variables ψ , ϕ and ξ are related by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J^{\mu_1}(x_1) \dots J^{\mu_n}(x_n) \rangle_\psi &= \frac{1}{g^{2n}} \langle J^{\mu_1}(x_1/g^2) \dots J^{\mu_n}(x_n/g^2) \rangle_\phi \\ &= m^n \langle J^{\mu_1}(mx_1) \dots J^{\mu_n}(mx_n) \rangle_\xi .\end{aligned}\tag{91}$$

From this equation, choosing for instance the variable $x_i = g^2 \bar{x}_i$ (with $\bar{x}_i$ dimensionless) to measure lengths, we find the following remarkable scaling relation

$$\langle J^{\mu_1}(\bar{x}_1) \dots J^{\mu_n}(\bar{x}_n) \rangle_\phi = \lambda^n \langle J^{\mu_1}(\lambda \bar{x}_1) \dots J^{\mu_n}(\lambda \bar{x}_n) \rangle_\xi .\tag{92}$$

Therefore, once a reference energy scale has been chosen, the parameter λ determines completely the scaling behaviour of the theory. It is worth underlining here that this interesting feature has been in fact already observed by [14] in the computation of the effective action of the nonabelian topological massive Yang-Mills theory.

Other models with topological properties different from those of the free fermion case can be obtained by considering interactions that modify the coefficient η of the free theory.

For instance, a term of the kind

$$S(a) = \theta S_{CS}(a) ,\tag{93}$$

will lead to a bosonized action of the form,

$$S_{bos} = K_B + \frac{1}{\theta} S_{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{\eta} S_{CS}(\hat{A}) + \frac{1}{\theta} S_{CS}(A) .\tag{94}$$

Now, from the eq.(46), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{bos} = \frac{1}{\eta'} S_{CS}(A) , \quad , \quad \frac{1}{\eta'} = \frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\theta} .\tag{95}$$

Thus, if $\eta^{-1} + \theta^{-1} \neq 0$, the resulting gauge invariant bosonized action will contain a local Chern-Simons term (with parameter $1/\eta'$) and therefore we can rewrite it as

$$S_{bos}(A) = \frac{1}{\eta'} S_{CS}(\tilde{A}^{int}) ,\tag{96}$$

for a suitable redefinition $\tilde{A}^{int}(A)$ of the gauge connection A .

In this case, the presence of the gauge field $\tilde{A}^{int} = \tilde{A}^{int}(A)$ instead of the field $\hat{A} = \hat{A}(A)$, which corresponds to the free case, follows from the fact that the transformation needed

to reset a gauge invariant action to a pure Chern-Simons term depends explicitly on the coefficient of the local Chern-Simons term (see the discussion of section §II). From a physical point of view, this change implies that when a free fermion field is minimally coupled to a Chern-Simons field not only the topological properties are modified but also the dynamical ones. This modification is a general property of relativistic systems. In particular, this also occurs for (quantum) *relativistic* point particles due to the fact that the spin and translational degrees of freedom are dynamically coupled [20].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work general features of the bosonization in $(2 + 1)$ d have been analyzed. In particular, using the path integral approach, the universality of the bosonization rule for the fermionic current has been established for a large class of abelian fermionic interactions. In addition, we have seen that the bosonized form of the free massive fermionic action can be written as a pure Chern-Simons term, up to a suitable gauge field redefinition.

These two important properties can be combined together to give the following final bosonization prescription

$$K_F + i \int d^3x \ s^\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi + S^I(J) \leftrightarrow K_B(A) + i \int d^3x \ s^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\nu A^\rho + S^I(\varepsilon \partial A) , \quad (97)$$

where $K_B(A)$ corresponds to the bosonization of the massive free fermionic action and is given by

$$K_B(A) = \frac{i}{2\eta} \int d^3x \ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{A}_\mu \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\rho . \quad (98)$$

As already remarked, $\hat{A}_\mu$ is a gauge connection depending nonlinearly on the gauge field A_μ . The above formula has to be understood as an equivalence between the fermionic and the bosonic representations for the generating functional of the correlators (6), upon path-integration over the corresponding fermionic or bosonic fields.

It is remarkable that the spinor current $\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi$ can be exactly bosonized by a topologically conserved current $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\nu A^\rho$ for a rather large class of interactions $S^I(J)$. Moreover, the fact

that the bosonized fermionic kinetic term takes the form of a pure Chern-Simons action, gives us a direct perception of the very deep topological structure underlying the whole bosonization program in $(2+1)d$.

This structure could give a better understanding of the behaviour of three-dimensional interacting fermionic models. This possibility relies on the prominent role which the Chern-Simons term seems to play with respect to any other gauge invariant term built up with the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ and its derivatives. Indeed, as proven in refs. [12,21,22], any gauge invariant action depending on $F_{\mu\nu}$ in the presence of the Chern-Simons term can be reabsorbed into the pure Chern-Simons action, through an appropriate field redefinition. This peculiar feature turns out to be helpful in the study of the low energy regime of these models, corresponding to a local derivative expansion in the inverse of the mass parameter m . In this region, the effects of the fermionic determinant can be seen indeed as higher order perturbation terms of the pure topological Chern-Simons action. We are left thus with the problem of perturbing a topological field theory. It is natural therefore to expect that the physical relevant quantities to be computed in the low energy regime are those of the pure topological theory. In the present abelian case they are given by the so-called loop variables of the knot theory, *i.e.* $\int_{\gamma} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}$, where γ is a smooth closed oriented nonintersecting curve. In other words, we expect that, in the low energy regime, some relevant physical properties of three-dimensional fermionic systems can be described by means of the loop correlators

$$\left\langle \int_{\gamma_1} dx^{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1} \dots \int_{\gamma_n} dx^{\mu_n} A_{\mu_n} \right\rangle_{S_{bos}^{eff}(A)} , \quad (99)$$

evaluated with an effective bosonized action of the type

$$S_{bos}^{eff}(A) = \int d^3x \, \varepsilon A \partial A + \int d^3x \left(\frac{\alpha}{m^5} F^4 + \frac{\beta}{m^9} F^6 + \dots \right) , \quad (100)$$

with α and β arbitrary dimensionless parameters. Needless to say, the loop variable $\int_{\gamma} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}$ corresponds, via the Stokes theorem, to the flux of the topological current $\int_{\sigma} dx^{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^{\nu} A^{\rho}$ through a surface σ whose boundary is γ . Moreover, thanks to the universality of the current bosonization, this amounts to study the flux of the corresponding spinor current, *i.e.* $\int_{\sigma} ds^{\mu} J_{\mu}$.

The analysis of the correlators (99) for a generic n -component link is under investigation. Their computation, together with their relationship with macroscopic fermionic quantities, will be reported in a more complete and detailed paper [23].

Finally, we hope that the identification of the topological structure of the three-dimensional bosonization will be relevant in order to promote this technique to a useful computational tool beyond the quadratic approximation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our gratitude to Prof. F. A. Schaposnik for useful comments and discussions.

The Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, CNPq Brazil, the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Faperj, and the SR2-UERJ are gratefully acknowledged for financial support.

[†] barci@dft.if.uerj.br

^{††} oxman@fis.puc-rio.br

^{†††} sorella@dft.if.uerj.br

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 2088 (1975);
S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. **D11**, 3026 (1975).
- [2] E. C. Marino, Phys. Lett. **B263**, 63 (1991).
- [3] Eduardo Fradkin, Fidel A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. **B338**, 253 (1994).
- [4] F. A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. **B356**, 39 (1995).
- [5] C. P. Burgess, C. A. Lutken, F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. **B336**, 18 (1994).
- [6] N. Bralic, E. Fradkin, V. Manias, F. A. Schaposnik, Nucl. Phys. **B446**, 144 (1995);
J. C. Le Guillou, E. Moreno, C. Nuñez, F. A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. **B409**, 257 (1997);
J. C. Le Guillou, E. Moreno, C. Nuñez, F. A. Schaposnik, *Non abelian Bosonization in Two and Three Dimensions*, hep-th/9609202;
F. A. Schaposnik, *Bosonization in $d > 2$ dimensions*, Trends in Theoretical Physics, CERN-Santiago de Compostela-La Plata Meeting, La Plata, April 1997, hep-th/9705186.
- [7] R. Banerjee, Phys. Lett. **B358**, 297 (1995);
R. Banerjee, Nucl. Phys. **B465**, 157 (1996);
R. Banerjee and E. C. Marino, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 3763 (1997).
- [8] C. M. Naón, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 2035 (1985).
- [9] C. P. Burgess, F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. **B421**, 373 (1994).
- [10] D. G. Barci, C. D. Fosco and L. E. Oxman, Phys. Lett. **B375**, 267 (1996).
- [11] D. G. Barci and L. E. Oxman, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 493 (1997).
- [12] D. G. Barci, V. E. R. Lemes, C. Linhares de Jesus, M. B. D. Silva Maia Porto, S. P. Sorella and L. C. Q. Vilar, Nucl. Phys. **B524**, 765 (1998).

- [13] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 2291 (1981);
S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. **140**, 372 (1982);
S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett., **48**, 975 (1982).
- [14] R. D. Pisarski and S. Rao, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 2081 (1985).
- [15] A. Niemi and G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett., **23**, 1077 (1983).
- [16] A. N. Redlich, Phys. Rev. **D29**, 2366 (1984).
- [17] A. Coste and M. Lüscher; Nucl. Phys. **B323**, 631 (1989).
- [18] J. C. Le Guillou, C. Nuñez, F. A. Schaposnik, Ann. Phys. **251**, 426 (1996).
- [19] O. Piguet and S. P. Sorella, *Algebraic Renormalization*, Monograph series, **Vol. m28**,
Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [20] Stefano Forte, “Relativistic Quantum Theory with Fractional Spin and Statistics”, Proceedings of the Jorge Andre Swieca Summer School, Particles and Fields, pag. 493 (1993) São Paulo, Brazil. [hep-th 9307047]
- [21] V. E. R. Lemes, C. Linhares de Jesus, C. A. G. Sasaki, S. P. Sorella, L. C. Q. Vilar and O. S. Phys. Lett. **B418** , 324 (1998).
- [22] V. E. R. Lemes, C. Linhares de Jesus, S. P. Sorella, L. C. Q. Vilar and O. S. Ventura, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 5010 (1998).
- [23] Work in progress.